IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 18872 of 2005

Date: 25.08.2005

Between:

K.R.CH. Rao, S/o. Chandranna,

West Godavari District

PETITIONER

Vs.

The Depot Manager,

A.P.S.R.T.C., Tanuku Depot,
West Godavari District

RESPONDENT

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the order of suspension passed by the respondent Corporation on certain alleged irregularities, which are stated in the Charge Memo as well as in the Charge Sheet.

2. The first argument is that though the petitioner asked for supply of certain documents to submit his explanation to the Charge Memo, they were not supplied to him. Therefore, there is no justification for issuing the charge sheet, without supplying the copies, as sought for by the petitioner. But, however, the petitioner himself has filed Xerox Copies of the documents before this Court as part of material in the writ petition, which shows that the copies were made available to the petitioner.

3. With reference to the charges made against the petitioner, the learned counsel for the petitioner relied upon a Circular issued by the Corporation, which requires attestation of the S.Rs, if there are any corrections at the time of receiving the S.Rs. But, as no such attestations were taken at the time of receiving those documents, it is not just and proper to frame charges against the petitioner. Therefore, sought for appropriate orders.

4. The learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Corporation, however, opposed the contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. The petitioner was charge sheeted for certain cash and ticket irregularities, which were found on verification of the S.R. Therefore, a Charge Memo was issued calling upon his explanation. Later, charge sheet was also issued.

6. Under the above circumstances, when allegations are made against the petitioner, it is for the petitioner to substantiate his stand by explaining the

circumstances as well as relying upon the material on which he wants to rely upon and as the matter is still pending before the enquiry authorities, this Court declines to go into the matter including the merits of the allegations.

7. Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of directing the petitioner to raise all the objections and the contentions before the appropriate authority seeking appropriate remedy. No costs.

_____

S. Ananda Reddy, J

Date: 25.08.2005

va